## **POST- DECISION ON MOTION TO DISMISS** August 1996

## **Monetary Claims**

|   | Mitchell  |   | Czorapinski   |  | Creel   |  | Mullineaux   |  | MSP                            | State of Md.  | Lewis |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------|---|-------|
|   | Official  | Personal  | Official  | Personal   | Official  | Personal   | Official   | Personal   |                                |   |       |
| Count I<br>Equal<br>Protection<br>§1983           | Money<br>damages<br>barred by<br>11 <sup>th</sup> Am.<br>immunity |   | Money<br>damages<br>barred by<br>11 <sup>th</sup> Am.<br>immunity |  | Money<br>damages<br>barred by<br>11 <sup>th</sup> Am.<br>immunity |  | Money<br>damages barred<br>by 11 <sup>th</sup> Am.<br>immunity |  | Dis-<br>missed                 | Money<br>damages<br>barred by<br>11 <sup>th</sup> Am.<br>immunity |       |
| Count II<br>FMLA/<br>statute                      | Denied -<br>FMLA<br>clearly<br>abrogated<br>immunity*             | Denied -<br>Individual<br>s fit<br>within<br>FMLA<br>definition<br>of<br>employer | Denied -<br>FMLA<br>clearly<br>abrogated<br>immunity*             | Denied -<br>Individuals<br>fit within<br>FMLA<br>definition of<br>employer | Denied -<br>FMLA<br>clearly<br>abrogated<br>immunity*             | Denied -<br>Individuals<br>fit within<br>FMLA<br>definition of<br>employer | Denied -<br>FMLA clearly<br>abrogated<br>immunity*             | Denied -<br>Individuals<br>fit within<br>FMLA<br>definition of<br>employer | Dis-<br>missed                 | Denied -<br>FMLA<br>clearly<br>abrogated<br>immunity              |       |
| Count II<br>FMLA<br>§1983                         |   |   |   |  |   |  |  |  | Dis-<br>missed                 |   |       |
| Count II<br>Retaliation                           |   |   |   |  |   |  |  |  |                                |   |       |
| Count III<br>Maryland<br>Declaration<br>of Rights | Dismissed by<br>Plaintiff   | Dismissed<br>by<br>Plaintiff  | Dismissed<br>by Plaintiff   | Dismissed<br>by Plaintiff  | Dismissed by<br>Plaintiff   | Dismissed<br>by Plaintiff  | Dismissed by<br>Plaintiff                                      | Dismissed<br>by Plaintiff  | Dis-<br>missed by<br>Plaintiff | Dismissed<br>by<br>Plaintiff                                      |       |

<sup>\*</sup> Court did not address issue whether Congress acted "pursuant to a valid exercise of power" because it was not raised by the parties. 935 F.Supp. at 663.

 $H:\civil\DOVEM\WPDATA\KNUSSMAN\post-mtd.cht.(letter)wpd.wpd\\ April 20, 2004$